PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORIT	Y	PC'	T	
To: KERR, James W. Interbrew S.A. 303 Richmond Street London, Ontario N6B 2H8 CANADA		WRITTEN OPINION (PCT Rule 66)			
·		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	12/07/2	004 *	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 6.70.1068 PCT/IB*		REPLY DUE within 2 / 00 months/days from the above date of mailing			
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (di	zy/month/year)	
PCT/IB03/05404	25/11/2003		29/11/200		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classificati	on and IPC			
	B67D1/04	•	•	:	
Applicant					
INTERBREW S.A. et al.			,		
I X Basis of the opinion II Priority III Non-establishment of opin	ion with regard to novelt	ry, inventive step and i	ndustrial applicabil	ity	
IV Lack of unity of invention V X Reasoned statement under citations and explanations			ve step or industria	l applicability;	
VI Certain documents cited					ļ
VII Certain defects in the interest	national application		•		
VIII Certain observations on the	e international application	n			
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply When? See the time limit indicated at to grant an extension, see Ru By submitting a written reply For the form and the language	pove. The applicant may, le 66.2(d). , accompanied, where ap	propriate, by amendm	ents, according to	-	у
Also For an additional opportunity For the examiner's obligation For an informal communicati	to consider amendments	and/or arguments, se	e Rule 66 <i>.4bis</i> .		
If no reply is filed, the international pro-	eliminary examination re	port will be established	on the basis of th	is opinion.	
The final date by which the internations examination report must be established		:28/0	3/2005	- Nisches Paten	amt.
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/		Authorized officer		Wale La	40
European Patent Office		Examiner		97	9
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 5236: Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	56 epmu d	Formalities officer (incl. extension of tin Tel. (+49-89) 2399	ne limits) 2828		10 Jugas
orm PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (march 2	1002)			Office europa) . <u> </u>

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/IB03/05404

- I. Basis of the opinion
- 1. The basis of this written opinion is the application as originally filed.
- V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
- In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the
 invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the
 criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve
 an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X
 and/or Y and corresponding claims references).
- 2. If amendments are filed, the applicant should comply with the requirements of Rule 66.8 PCT and indicate the basis of the amendments in the documents of the application as originally filed (Article 34 (2) (b) PCT) otherwise these amendments may not be taken into consideration for the establishment of the international preliminary examination report. The attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that if the application contains an unnecessary plurality of independent claims, no examination of any of the claims will be carried out.
- NB: Should the applicant decide to request detailed substantive examination, then an international preliminary examination report will normally be established directly. Exceptionally the examiner may draw up a second written opinion, should this be explicitly requested.